

## Second Stakeholder Meeting for Residential Water Heating Compact Hot Water Distribution Design

March 23, 2017

Marc Hoeschele

mhoeschele@davisenergy.com

Peter Grant

pgrant@davisenergy.com



# 1. Background





#### What's the Problem?

- Issues impacting distribution system performance
  - Typical architectural design
  - Non-existent plumbing design
  - PEX can lead to sprawling layouts
  - Wait times impacted by:
    - Low(er) flow rate devices
    - Pipe sizing conservativism
- Recirculation is a solution for water waste, but not energy



- Compact hot water design reduces the inefficiencies of conventional hot water distribution system designs
- Issue:
  - Distribution system energy loss





- Compact hot water design reduces the inefficiencies of conventional hot water distribution system designs
- Issue:
  - Distribution system energy loss  $\rightarrow$  Reduced





- Compact hot water design reduces the inefficiencies of conventional hot water distribution system designs
- Issue:
  - Distribution system energy loss  $\rightarrow$  Reduced
  - Wasted water







- Compact hot water design reduces the inefficiencies of conventional hot water distribution system designs
- Issue:
  - Distribution system energy loss  $\rightarrow$  Reduced
  - Wasted water  $\rightarrow$  Reduced



![](_page_6_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Picture_7.jpeg)

- Compact hot water design reduces the inefficiencies of conventional hot water distribution system designs
- Issue:
  - Distribution system energy loss  $\rightarrow$  Reduced
  - Wasted water  $\rightarrow$  Reduced
  - Wait time  $\rightarrow$  Reduced, but shower singing increased

![](_page_7_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Picture_7.jpeg)

## **Typical Distribution System Layout**

![](_page_8_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Picture_2.jpeg)

### **More Compact Distribution Layout**

![](_page_9_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### **Measure Goal**

- Encourage builders to bring the water heater in closer proximity to all use points
  - Focus on Master Bath and Kitchen as primary sources of hot water draw events and hot water load

![](_page_10_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Picture_4.jpeg)

#### **Relevant Code History**

- Compact design is an existing 2016 compliance option
  - HERS-Verified compact hot water design credit
    - Uptake close to zero (CalCerts registry data)
- Other Relevant Requirements/Specifications
  - EPA WaterSense®
    - 0.5 gallon between hot water source and any hot water fixture
  - 2016 CalGreen
    - Voluntary measures Appendix A4 (demand recirculation)
  - 2015 IAPMO Green Plumbing Supplement
    - Maximum volume between source of hot water and use point
  - IECC 2018: NRDC proposal for compact (wasn't accepted)
    - Maximum length (prescriptive), with performance credit for > compactness

![](_page_11_Picture_13.jpeg)

## 2. Proposed Code Changes

![](_page_12_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### **Proposed Code Change**

- Revision to existing compliance option
- Single family residential new construction only
- Two tiered credit strategy
  - Basic: no HERS verification required
  - Expanded: greater credit, with limited HERS verification

![](_page_13_Picture_6.jpeg)

### Why Are We Proposing This Code Change

- Help support ZNE goals
- Achieve energy (and water) savings
- Provide builders with flexible means of compliance
- Promote improved plumbing practices
  - Homeowners benefit (wait time, less waste)
  - Builders benefit (homeowner satisfaction)

![](_page_14_Picture_7.jpeg)

### **Basics of Proposed Compact Design Measure**

- Two versions: Basic Credit, Expanded Credit
  - Both are based on plan view calculation

![](_page_15_Figure_3.jpeg)

- Comparison between two calculated values
  - Weighted Distance (WD)
  - Qualification Distance (QD)

![](_page_15_Picture_7.jpeg)

#### **Basics of Measure**

- WD & QD equations vary with:
  - Non-recirculation or recirculation (both WD, QD)
  - Number of stories (QD)
  - Conditioned floor area (QD)
- Adds Compactness Factor (CF) to distribution loss equations in the ACM
  - CF = 1.0 for non-compact system (default)
  - CF = 0.7 for Basic Credit
  - CF < 0.7 for Expanded Credit

#### $DLM_k = 1 + (SDLM_k - 1) * DSM_k * CF$

Equation 5

![](_page_16_Picture_11.jpeg)

#### Weighted Distance (WD) Calculation

- WD = x \* d\_MasterBath + y \* d\_Kitchen + z \* d\_FurthestFixture
  - d\_MasterBath=Distance from water heater to furthest master bathroom fixture
  - d\_Kitchen = Distance from water heater to furthest fixture in kitchen
  - d\_FurthestFixture = Distance from water heater to furthest fixture in house
    - Not in the master bathroom or kitchen

Distribution System	X	У	Z
Non-Recirculating	0.4	0.4	0.2
Recirculating	0	0	1

![](_page_17_Picture_7.jpeg)

#### Qualification Distance Criteria Development – 1 story, Non-Recirculating

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### **Basic Credit**

• Qualification:

– Weighted Distance < Qualification Distance

• Reward

 $- \, \text{CF} = 0.7$ 

![](_page_19_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_6.jpeg)

### **Expanded Credit**

- Qualification:
  - 1. Weighted Distance < Qualification Distance
  - 2. HERS verification steps:
    - Less than 8' of 1" diameter pipe (and no larger piping)
    - No hot water piping allowed in attic in two and three story homes unless water heater is located in the attic
    - Eligible recirculating systems must be HERS-Verified Demand Recirculation: Manual Control conforming to RA4.4.17.
- If meeting 1 & 2, the Expanded Credit criteria is satisfied

- And, CF = 0.3 + 0.4 \* WD/QD

![](_page_20_Picture_9.jpeg)

## Base Case Example: 1814 ft<sup>2</sup> two-story, Qualification Distance = 23.2 Fails Basic Credit

![](_page_21_Figure_1.jpeg)

Weighted Distance = 0.4 \* 28.9 ft + 0.4 \* 31.1 ft + 0.2 \* 34.3 ft = 30.9 ft

## Compact Example: 1814 ft<sup>2</sup> two-story, Qualification Distance = 23.2 ft Meets Basic Credit

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

Weighted Distance = 0.4 \* 12.9 ft + 0.4 \* 11.8 ft + 0.2 \* 16.1 ft = 13.1 ft

#### What do you think?

![](_page_23_Picture_1.jpeg)

# What do you think about the proposed code change?

![](_page_23_Picture_3.jpeg)

## 3. Technical and Market Barriers

![](_page_24_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### **Technical and Market Barriers**

- Centrally locating water heater is a challenge
  - Increased venting distance/costs
  - Impacts garage space
- Possible solutions:
  - Condensing water heater (cheaper plastic vent pipe)
  - External wall (non-garage) mounting close to key use points
  - Attic

Expensive vent kits for non-condensing

![](_page_25_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_11.jpeg)

Cheaper plastic for condensing

#### **Technical and Market Barriers**

- Title 24 Consultant 💭 Builder 💭 Plumber communication
  - The consultant specs Expanded Credit, but plumber does not know
  - Plumber installs non-compliant system & fails HERS verification
- Solution  $\rightarrow$  Clear direction to plumber
  - Eligibility criteria on plans
  - Plumber training

![](_page_26_Picture_7.jpeg)

#### **Technical and Market Barriers**

- Piping required between floors for Expanded Credit
  - Open web floor trusses not standard
  - Added labor when dealing with I-joists
  - Is this a significant problem?
- Solution  $\rightarrow$  Builder can default to Basic Credit

![](_page_27_Picture_6.jpeg)

# 4. Compliance and Enforcement

![](_page_28_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_1.jpeg)

Design Phase

- What happens during design phase
  - Water heater in more central location and/or architectural design reflects compact design approach
  - Provide Weighted Distance vectors on floor plan for easier plan review
  - For Expanded Credit, clearly specify eligibility criteria on plumbing plans

![](_page_29_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_1.jpeg)

- What happens in permit application phase?
  - Prepare documentation
  - Complete Title 24, Part 6 calculations indicating Basic or Expanded Credit
    - Expanded Credit would trigger installation and HERS verification reports (CF2R and CF3R)
  - Plan reviewer verifies Weighted Distance qualification is met

![](_page_30_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_1.jpeg)

- What happens in construction phase?
  - Basic Credit: No impact
  - Expanded Credit: Plumber follows compact design eligibility requirements

![](_page_31_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_1.jpeg)

- What happens in inspection phase?
  - For Basic Credit, nothing
  - For Expanded Credit, simple HERS visual inspections:
    - a. Less than 8' of 1" diameter pipe (and no larger piping)
    - b. No hot water piping in attic in two and three story homes unless water heater is located in the attic
    - c. Recirculating systems must be HERS-Verified Demand Recirculation: Manual Control conforming to RA4.4.17.

![](_page_32_Picture_8.jpeg)

#### **Compliance and Enforcement Barriers**

- Issue: Developing clear communication for Expanded Credit between Title 24 consultant and plumber
  - Plumber installs non-compliant system, fails HERS inspection
- Solution  $\rightarrow$  Plumber education on eligibility criteria critical
- Solution  $\rightarrow$  Documentation on credit provided to plumber

![](_page_33_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_6.jpeg)

#### **Compliance and Enforcement Barriers**

- Issue: Added plan checker verification requirement
  - Plan check process needs to verify WD calculation
- Solution  $\rightarrow$  Brief training for plan reviewers

![](_page_34_Picture_4.jpeg)

#### What do you think?

![](_page_35_Picture_1.jpeg)

# What do you think about the compliance and enforcement barriers?

![](_page_35_Picture_3.jpeg)

## 5. Cost-Effectiveness and Energy and Water Impacts

![](_page_36_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### **Definition of Baseline and Proposed Conditions**

#### **Baseline Conditions**

- Minimally compliant with 2016 Standards
- List key assumptions
  - Develop standard water heating budget for house sizes ranging from 1,200 to 4,000 ft<sup>2</sup>, assuming <u>all hot water pipes insulated using</u> CBECC-Res
  - CBECC water heating model assumes hot water loads vary with number of bedrooms

#### **Proposed Conditions**

 Simulate compact hot water distribution Basic Credit (CF = 0.7)

![](_page_37_Picture_8.jpeg)

### **Projected Savings as a Function of House Size**

![](_page_38_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### **Estimated Water Savings Impact**

- Water-use impacts are highly dependent upon behavior and occupancy
- Can only assess with detailed, short time step simulation models
- Building America report looked at performance in six U.S. climates
- Based on findings, estimating typical water savings of 962 gallons/year for ~2,000 ft<sup>2</sup> home

![](_page_39_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_6.jpeg)

### What do you think?

![](_page_40_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Do the projected energy savings seem reasonable?
- Are the estimated water savings appropriate?

#### Estimated Water Savings

• 962 gallons/year

For typical 2,000 ft<sup>2</sup> home

(Based on findings from *Building America* report)

#### Projected Energy Savings

- 1,200 ft<sup>2</sup> CFA 2.8 therms/yr
- 2,500 ft<sup>2</sup> CFA 5.2 therms/yr
- 4,000 ft<sup>2</sup> CFA 6.0 therms/yr

![](_page_40_Picture_12.jpeg)

## 6. Next Steps

![](_page_41_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### **Next Steps**

- Please send any additional feedback to:
  - CASE authors:
  - Info@title24stakeholders.com
- Keep an eye on <u>Title24Stakeholders.com</u> for:
  - Presentations from today's meeting
  - Draft Code Change Language
  - Notes from today's meeting
  - Draft CASE Report (will be posted in April)

![](_page_42_Picture_9.jpeg)

# Let's move on to... Drain Water Heat Recovery (DWHR)

![](_page_43_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### References

- <u>Title24Stakeholders.com</u>
- EnergyCodeAce.com
  - See <u>Reference Ace</u> for 2016 Standards, Appendices, and Compliance Manuals
- <u>California Energy Commission 2019 Standards Webpage</u>

![](_page_44_Picture_5.jpeg)